

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,050	09/08/2006	Andreas Hoff	5003073.067US1	4651
29737 SMITH MOOR	7590 05/18/200 P.F. I.I.P	7	EXAMINER	
P.O. BOX 2192	27	LAO, MARIALOUISA		
GREENSBORO, NC 27420			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1621	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/18/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/551,050	HOFF ET AL.		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		MLouisa Lao, Ph.D.	1621		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).					
Status					
2a)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) This Since this application is in condition for allowan closed in accordance with the practice under Expression is the practice of the condition of the	action is non-final. ce except for formal matters, pro			
Dispositi	Disposition of Claims				
 4) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-13 and 15 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 					
Application Papers					
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the december of the december of the correction of the oath or declaration is objected to by the Example 1.	epted or b) objected to by the large of the drawing of the drawing of the large of	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
2) Notice 3) Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate		

Application/Control Number: 10/551,050 Page 2

Art Unit: 1621

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-13 and 15 are currently pending in the instant application and are subject to a lack of unity restriction requirement.

2. Priority

Receipt is acknowledged of Germany 103142037 filed 3/38/03, submitted under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119(a)-(d), which papers have been placed of record in the file.

3. Election/Restrictions

Restriction is required under 35 U.S.C. § 121 and § 372.

Claims 1-13 and 15 are drawn to more than one inventive concept (as defined by PCT Rule 13), and accordingly, a restriction is required according to the provision of PCT Rule 13.2.

PCT Rule 13.2 states that the international application shall relate to one invention only or to a group of inventions so linked as to form a single general inventive concept (requirement of unity of invention).

PCT Rule 13.2 states unity of invention referred to in Rule 13.1 shall be fulfilled only when there is a technical relationship among those inventions involving one or more of the same or corresponding special technical features.

Annex B, Part 1 (b), provides that "special technical features" mean those technical features, which, as a whole, define a contribution over the prior art.

Annex B, Part 1 (e), provides combinations of different categories of claims and states:

- "The method for determining unity of invention under Rule 13 shall be construed as permitting, in particular, the inclusion of any one of the following combinations of claims of different categories in the same international application:
- (i) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, an independent claims for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for use of the said product, or
- (ii) in addition to an independent claim for a given process, an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process, or
- (iii) in addition to an independent claim for a given product, and independent claim for a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an independent claim for an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process"

Lack of Unity

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-12 drawn to a process for separation of a monomer.
 - II. Claims 13, drawn to a device for synthesis of a monomer.
 - III. Claims 15, drawn to a separation aid.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,050 Page 3

Art Unit: 1621

Therefore, since the claims do not relate to a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule13.1 and lack the same or corresponding special technical features, the claims lack unity of invention and should be limited.

3. The inventions Group I, II and III do not relate to a single general inventive concept

under PCT Rule 13.1-13.3. PCT 13.1 states that the international application shall relate to one

invention only or a group of inventions linked as to form "a single general inventive concept".

PCT 13.2 indicates that such unity of invention is fulfilled only when there is a technical

relationship among those inventions involving one of more of the same or corresponding special

technical feature.

4. In the instant Groups I-III, a technical relationship corresponding to the special technical

feature is lacking because the special feature for patentability of Groups I-III are:

Group I is for a process for separation of a monomer, which encompasses several

potentially viable monomers.

Group II is for a device for synthesis of a monomer, which can be used for the production

of a plurality of monomers.

Group III is for a separation aid for the separation of at least one monomer, which can

entail the use thereof for various types of monomers.

3. Furthermore, even if unity of invention under 37 CFR 1.475(a) is not lacking, under 37 CFR 1.475(b) a national stage application containing claims to different categories of invention will be considered to have unity of invention if the claims are drawn only to one of the following

combinations:

A product and a process specially adapted for the manufacture of said product; or

A product and process of use of said product; or

A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and a use of the said product; or

A process and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process; or

A product, a process specially adapted for the manufacture of the said product, and an apparatus or means specially designed for carrying out the said process.

Art Unit: 1621

Moreover, according to 37 CFR 1.475(c),

If an application contains claims to more or less that one of the combinations of categories of invention set forth in paragraph (b), unity of invention might not be present.

Page 4

In the instant case the claims are drawn to more than a process and a device, and according to 37 CFR 1.475(e),

The determination whether a group of inventions is so linked as to form a single general inventive concept shall be made without regard to whether the inventions are claimed in separate claims or as alternatives within a single claim.

This application contains the following inventions or groups of inventions, which are not so linked as to form a single general inventive concept under PCT Rule 13.1. The Groups have been constructed under these criteria.

In accordance with 37 CFR 1.499, applicant is required, in reply to this action, to elect a single invention to which the claims must be restricted.

5. A telephone call was not made to applicants' agent to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, due to the complexity of the art. MPEP § 812.01

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In

Application/Control Number: 10/551,050 Page 5

Art Unit: 1621

either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the 6. inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Correspondence

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to MLouisa Lao, Ph.D. whose telephone number is 571-272-9930. The examiner can normally be reached on Mondays to Fridays from 8:30am to 5:00pm. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thurman Page, can be reached on 571-272-0602. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pairthrough Private PAIR only. direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

J. PARSA

`ml1005092007 MLouisa Lao, Ph.D. Examiner Art Unit 1621

for JOHANN RICHTER, Ph.D., Esq. SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TC1600 GAU 1621